

LEASON ELLIS

One Barker Avenue, Fifth Avenue
White Plains, New York 10601
t. 914.288.0022
f. 914.288.0023

December 3, 2013

VIA ECF AND EMAIL

Hon. Cathy Seibel
United States District Court
Southern District of New York
300 Quarropas St.
White Plains, NY 10601-4150
Email: chambersnysdseibel@nysd.uscourts.gov

Paul Fields
Fields@LeasonEllis.com

RE: *Amscan, Inc. and Party City Corp. v. Shutter Shades, Inc.*
and Donald T. Wilkerson, 7:13-cv-01112-CS

Dear Judge Seibel:


I am principal counsel for Plaintiffs in the above-captioned civil action. Pursuant to Your Honor's Rule 1(E), I write to respectfully request an extension of the current deadlines for fact and expert discovery due to health issues I encountered that began in April and which have unexpectedly curtailed my available time to work on this matter. The current (*Dkt.* 14) and proposed deadlines are:

1. Fact Discovery (including depositions):
 - a. Current deadline: December 20, 2013
 - b. Proposed new deadline: February 20, 2014
2. Expert Discovery (including depositions)
 - a. Current deadline: February 20, 2014
 - b. Proposed new deadline: April 18, 2014

This is the first request by either party for an extension in the above-captioned action. We sought the consent of opposing counsel to the above-proposed dates today by telephone and email, but opposing counsel has not yet responded. Nonetheless, we bring the instant letter motion in order to timely comply with Your Honor's Rules to make such request. Furthermore, we will promptly notify Your Honor of opposing counsel's response as soon as we receive same.

Pursuant to Your Honor's Rule 1E, a proposed Amended Civil Case Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order, with new deadlines for fact and expert discovery only, is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Very truly yours,



Paul Fields

Enclosure
cc: Todd Wengrovsky (via email: contact@twlegal.com)

EXHIBIT A

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

AMSCAN, INC. and PARTY CITY
CORPORATION,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SHUTTER SHADES, INC. and DONALD T.
WILKERSON,

Defendant.

**AMENDED CIVIL CASE DISCOVERY
PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER**

7:13-cv-01112 (CS)

Seibel, J.

The following Civil Case Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order is adopted, after consultation with counsel for the parties, pursuant to Rules 26(f) and 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

1. This case **is** to be tried to a jury.
2. Amended pleadings may not be filed and additional parties may not be joined except with leave of Court. Any pre-motion conference in connection with a motion to amend or to join additional parties must be requested by **July 26, 2013**.
3. Initial disclosures pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26 (a)(1) shall, if not already made, be made within 14 days of the date of this Order.
4. All fact discovery shall be completed by **February 20, 2014**.

The parties are to conduct discovery in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The following interim deadlines shall apply, but may be extended by written consent of the parties without application to the Court, provided that all fact discovery is completed by the date set forth above. Any extension that would affect the fact discovery cut-off may be obtained only by Court order for good cause shown. Any such application will be denied if not made at least three weeks before the applicable deadline, absent extraordinary circumstances.

- a. Initial requests for production of documents are to be served by **July 26, 2013**.
 - b. Interrogatories are to be served by **July 26, 2013**. The provisions of Local Civil Rule 33.3 **shall** apply to this case.
 - c. Depositions are to be completed by **February 20, 2014**. Unless counsel agree otherwise or the Court so orders, depositions are not to be held until all parties have responded to any initial requests for production of documents, and depositions proceed concurrently. Whenever possible, unless counsel agree otherwise or the Court so orders, non-party depositions shall follow party depositions.
 - d. Requests to Admit, if any, are to be served by **September 13, 2013**.
 - e. Any further interrogatories are to be served by **October 25, 2013**.
5. All expert discovery is to be completed by **April 18, 2014**.

The parties are to conduct discovery in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. At least 30 days before the fact discovery deadline in paragraph 4 above, the parties shall meet and confer on a schedule for expert disclosures, including independent medical examination (if applicable), expert reports, production of underlying documents, rebuttal reports (if applicable), expert interrogatories and expert depositions. Expert reports of the party with the burden of proof shall ordinarily be due before those of the opposing party's experts. A joint proposed discovery plan, or a plan setting forth areas of agreement and disagreement, must be submitted for the Court's approval at least 21 days before the fact discovery deadline set forth in paragraph 4 above.

Once the expert discovery plan is ordered by the Court, the parties may adjust interim deadlines by written consent of all parties without application to the Court, provided that all expert discovery is completed by the date set forth above. Any extension that would affect that expert discovery cut-off may be obtained only by Court order for good cause shown. Any such application will be denied if not made at least three weeks before the applicable deadline, absent extraordinary circumstances.

6. Additional provisions relating to discovery agreed upon by counsel are **not** attached and made a part hereof.

7. Procedure for discovery disputes:

The party objecting to disclosure, claiming an insufficient response to a discovery request, or asserting a privilege bears the burden of coming forward by bringing the dispute to the attention of the court as hereinafter set forth. A dispute arises on the day when the discovery

request or discovery response objected to is received by the adverse party, or should have been received under the applicable rule(s). The objecting party has 3 business days to attempt an amicable resolution of the dispute. If the dispute is not affirmatively resolved within 3 business days, the objecting party then has 5 business days to bring the issue to the attention of the court by a letter brief limited to two (2) pages. Opposing parties have 5 business days thereafter to submit a two (2) page answering letter brief. If appropriate, counsel may annex to the letter briefs relevant portions of relevant documents (*not* complete documents unless the entire document is relevant). Letter briefs must be sent to chambers, not filed on the Court's Electronic Case Filing system. Reply letter briefs will not be accepted.

When a legal privilege is asserted as a basis for refusing to comply with a discovery demand, the party asserting the privilege has 3 business days to attempt an amicable resolution of the dispute. If the dispute is not affirmatively resolved within 3 business days, the party asserting the privilege then has 5 business days to bring the issue to the attention of the Court by a letter brief limited to two (2) pages, accompanied by a privilege log in full compliance with Local Civil Rule 26.2(a)(1) and (2). If the letter, log and copies total more than ten (10) pages, they may not be faxed. If disclosure of the privilege log would result in a revelation of privileged information, the party asserting the privilege shall file the log *in camera* with the Court, and serve a redacted log on the adverse party. Opposing parties have 5 business days to serve and file an answering two (2) page letter brief. No reply letter briefs will be accepted. The time for asserting a privilege starts 5 business days from the day when counsel for the objecting party receives the documents from his or her client, but in no event more than 30 days from the date when the documents are demanded, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

The time limitations set forth in this paragraph 7 may not be extended without the prior approval of the Court. Any objection to discovery which is not raised within these time limitations will be waived.

8. Next Case Management Conference _____. (This date will be set by the Court at the first conference.)

All counsel must have at least one substantive joint discussion of settlement at least two weeks before the conference date set forth above. If at any time the parties believe the assistance of a Magistrate Judge or court mediator for settlement purposes would be helpful, they should so advise the Court by letter.

Any party contemplating a motion for summary judgment must submit a pre-motion letter, in accordance with this Court's individual practices, at least two weeks before the conference date above, and the opposing party must respond at least one week before that conference date.

If no motions are contemplated, a date for trial – or, if the Court's schedule does not permit a firm date, a date by which the parties should be ready for trial on 48 hours' notice (or on such other notice as the Court may prescribe) – will be set at the conference. Dates for the submission of the Joint Pretrial Order, motions *in limine*, proposed *voir dire* questions, and

requests to charge will also be set at the conference. The parties should be prepared for at least the Joint Pretrial Order to be due 30 days after the close of discovery.

9. This case has been designated to the Honorable _____, United States Magistrate Judge, for discovery disputes if the Court is unavailable. Upon both parties signing a Consent for Trial Before a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) (available on the Court's website), the Magistrate Judge may amend this Plan and Order, and will, at the appropriate time, set a date certain for trial.

10. Except as otherwise set forth above, this Plan and Order may not be changed without leave of the Court or the assigned Magistrate Judge acting under a specific order of reference.

SO ORDERED,

Dated: December __, 2013
White Plains, NY

CATHY SEIBEL, U.S.D.J.